

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/834,614 | 04/16/2001 | Akihiro Murata | 109278 | 3898 | |
| 25944 7 | 590 02/26/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC | | | WANG, GI | WANG, GEORGE Y | |
| P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | -, ····· | | 2871 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| | | 09/834,6 | 09/834,614 | | MURATA, AKIHIRO | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | Examine | <u> </u> | Art Unit | | | | |
| | • | George Y | ′. Wang | 2871 | pu | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communi | | | vith the correspondence ad | ddress | | | |
| Period for I | • • | | | | | | | |
| THE MA - Extensic after SIX - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure t Any repl | RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO ins of time may be available under the provisions of (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commi- riod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 niod for reply is specified above, the maximum sta- to reply within the set or extended period for reply to y received by the Office later than three months at patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evunication. of days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app | vent, however, may a tutory minimum of thi vill expire SIX (6) MO plication to become A | reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ly. communication. | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ R | esponsive to communication(s) file | d on <u>03 December 2</u> | <u>2003</u> . | | | | | |
| · | | | | | | | | |
| • | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition | of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C | Claim(s) 1-12 and 17-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 11,12 and 17-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application | Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) <u></u> Th | e specification is objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ Th | 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 April 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | oplicant may not request that any objec | | - | ` ' | | | | |
| | eplacement drawing sheet(s) including e oath or declaration is objected to | • | _ | • • • | • • | | | |
| Priority und | der 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| a)⊠ 1. 2. 3. | knowledgment is made of a claim f All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority of Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation the attached detailed Office action | documents have bee documents have bee of the priority documental al Bureau (PCT Rul | en received. en received in A ents have beer le 17.2(a)). | Application No n received in this National | Stage | | | |
| | | | • | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | , . | 0 (070 110) | | | | |
| 2) Notice o Informat | f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F o(s)/Mail Date | • | Paper No | Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTC | O-152) | | | |

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 3, 2003 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 11-12 and 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chun et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,522,002, from hereinafter "Chun") in view of Schenfeld (U.S. Patent No. 6,034,821, from hereinafter "Schenfeld") and Jacobowitz et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,337,388, from hereinafter "Jacobowitz").

Application/Control Number: 09/834,614

Art Unit: 2871

4. Regarding claim 11-12, Chun discloses a three-dimensional mount assembly (fig. 2, ref. 201) comprising a molded body (fig. 2, ref. 216), a plurality of electronic parts (fig. 2, ref. 207, 208) attached to the molded body, and a plurality of interconnections (fig. 2, ref. 203, 205) electrically connected to the electronic parts and attached to the molded body such that the interconnections are exposed and leveled on more than one side (fig. 2, ref. 250, 223) of the molded body (fig. 2, ref. 216; col. 6, lines 3-8) that are different from each other.

However, the reference fails to disclose the sealing of the interconnections and the electronic parts to the molded body, and that the exposed surface of the interconnections not extending beyond the plane.

Jacobowitz discloses an optoelectric connector that attaches components by sealing them (fig. 6, ref. 58).

Schenfeld discloses an optoelectric connector with a surface of the interconnections not extending beyond the plane (fig. 1, 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have sealed the electronic parts and the interconnections for attachment to the molded body since one would be motivated to provide permanence to the configuration. The technique of sealing is well known in the art to attach and bond a variety of components together and therefore does not admit novelty. Furthermore, according to Jacobowitz, the permanence of a seal also provides protection from environmental stresses (col. 2, lines 58-60).

Art Unit: 2871

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the exposed surface of the interconnections not extending beyond the plane since one would be motivated by geometrical advantages to provide high precision (with tolerances of several microns) connection that can be readily assembled with other components to form devices that are useful in optical systems (col. 1, lines 38-44).

- 5. As to claim 17-20, Chun discloses the three-dimensional mount assembly (fig. 3, ref. 301) as recited above where each of the electronic parts is an optical device and where the molded body has a hole (fig. 3, ref. 139) for linking an optical section of the optical device. Furthermore, Chun teaches an optical fiber (fig. 3, ref. 308) that provides optical linkage to other optical devices (fig. 3, ref. 107, 116) for optical transmission (fig. 3, ref. 303) via an optical connector (fig. 3, ref. 302) or plug.
- Regarding claim 21, Chun discloses a three-dimensional mount assembly (fig. 2, ref. 201) comprising a molded body (fig. 2, ref. 216) with a first side by first molded area (fig. 2, ref. 216 left-top) and a second side by a second molded area (fig. 2, ref. 216 front-right), a plurality of electronic parts (fig. 2, ref. 207, 208) attached to the molded body, and a plurality of interconnections (fig. 2, ref. 203, 205) electrically connected to the electronic parts and attached to the molded body such that the interconnections are exposed and leveled on more than one side (fig. 2, ref. 250, 223) of the molded body (fig. 2, ref. 216; col. 6, lines 3-8) that are different from each other.

Art Unit: 2871

However, the reference fails to disclose the sealing of the interconnections and the electronic parts to the molded body, and that the exposed surface of the interconnections not extending beyond the plane.

Jacobowitz discloses an optoelectric connector that attaches components by sealing them (fig. 6, ref. 58).

Schenfeld discloses an optoelectric connector with a surface of the interconnections not extending beyond the plane (fig. 1, 5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have sealed the electronic parts and the interconnections for attachment to the molded body since one would be motivated to provide permanence to the configuration. The technique of sealing is well known in the art to attach and bond a variety of components together and therefore does not admit novelty. Furthermore, according to Jacobowitz, the permanence of a seal also provides protection from environmental stresses (col. 2, lines 58-60).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the exposed surface of the interconnections not extending beyond the plane since one would be motivated by geometrical advantages to provide high precision (with tolerances of several microns) connection that can be readily assembled with other components to form devices that are useful in optical systems (col. 1, lines 38-44).

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 2871

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-12 and 17-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Y. Wang whose telephone number is 571-272-2304. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gw February 6, 2004

ROWERT H. KIM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800